arXiv:0910.0096v1 [math.GR] 1 Oct 2009

Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Coxeter groups I*

Yuqun Chen and Cihua Liu
School of Mathematical Sciences, South China Normal University
Guangzhou 510631, P. R. China
yqchen@scnu.edu.cn
langhua01duo@yahoo.com.cn

Abstract: A conjecture of Gröbner-Shirshov basis of any Coxeter group has proposed by L.A. Bokut and L.-S. Shiao [4]. In this paper, we give an example to show that the conjecture is not true in general. We list all possible nontrivial inclusion compositions when we deal with the general cases of the Coxeter groups. We give a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of a Coxeter group which is without nontrivial inclusion compositions mentioned the above.

Key words: Coxeter group, Gröbner-Shirshov basis, word problem.
AMS Mathematics Subject Classification(2000): 20F05, 20F10, 20F55, 16S15, 13P10

1 Introduction

Let $M = \|m_{ij}\|_{n\times n}$ be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix such that $m_{ii} = 1,\ 2 \le m_{ij} \le \infty$. The Coxeter group $W = W(M)$ is defined by the generators $s_1, \cdots, s_n$ and the defining relations $(s_is_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1$.

A conjecture of Gröbner-Shirshov basis of any Coxeter group has proposed by L.A. Bokut and L.-S. Shiao [4]. Gröbner-Shirshov bases of all finite Coxeter groups were given in [4, 8, 10]. As it is hypothesis, the conjecture is true for any finite Coxeter group. In this paper, we give an example to show that the above conjecture is not true in general. We list all possible nontrivial inclusion compositions (four cases) when we deal with the general cases of the Coxeter groups. We then give a new conjecture and prove it is true in some cases. We give a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of a Coxeter group which is without nontrivial inclusion compositions mentioned the above. We give some examples of such Coxeter groups but not the finite Coxeter groups. We will consider other cases in another papers in the future.

2 Preliminaries

We first cite some concepts and results from the literature [9, 2, 3] which are related to Gröbner-Shirshov bases for associative algebras. A notion of the pre-Gröbner-Shirshov basis is new.

---

*Supported by the NNSF of China (No.10771077) and the NSF of Guangdong Province (No.06025062).

Let X be a set and F a field, $F\langle X\rangle$ the free associative algebra over F generated by X, and X^* the free monoid generated by X. A well ordering $<$ on X^* is monomial if for any $u, v \in X^*$,

$$u < v \Rightarrow w_1 u w_2 < w_1 v w_2, \ \textit{for all}\ w_1,\ w_2 \in X^*.$$

For any $u \in X^*$, denote by $|u|$ the length of u.

A standard example of monomial ordering on X^* is the deg-lex ordering which first compare two words by length and then by comparing them lexicographically, where X is a well ordered set.

Then, for any polynomial $f \in F\langle X\rangle$, f has the leading (maximal) word $\overline{f}$. We call f *monic* if the coefficient of $\overline{f}$ is 1.

Let $f,\ g \in F\langle X\rangle$ be two monic polynomials and $w \in X^*$.

If $w = \overline{f}b = a\overline{g}$ for some $a, b \in X^*$ such that $|\overline{f}| + |\overline{g}| > |w|$, then $(f,g)_w = fb - ag$ is called the *intersection composition* of f, g relative to w.

If $w = \overline{f} = a\overline{g}b$ for some $a, b \in X^*$, then $(f,g)_w = f - agb$ is called the *inclusion composition* of f, g relative to w. The transformation $f \mapsto f - agb$ is called the elimination of leading word (ELW) of g in f.

In $(f,g)_w$, w is called the *ambiguity* of the composition.

Let $S \subset F\langle X\rangle$ be a monic set. A composition $(f,g)_w$ is called trivial modulo (S,w), denoted by

$$(f,g)_w \equiv 0 \quad mod(S,w)$$

if $(f,g)_w = \sum \alpha_i a_i s_i b_i$, where every $\alpha_i \in F,\ s_i \in S,\ a_i, b_i \in X^*$, and $a_i\overline{s_i}b_i < w$.

Generally, for $f, g \in F\langle X\rangle,\ f \equiv g \quad mod(S,w)$ we mean $f - g = \sum \alpha_i a_i s_i b_i$, where every $\alpha_i \in F,\ s_i \in S,\ a_i, b_i \in X^*$, and $a_i\overline{s_i}b_i < w$.

Recall that S is a *Gröbner-Shirshov basis* if any composition of polynomials from S is trivial modulo S.

Let f and r_1 be two polynomials. Then $f \mapsto f_1$ by ELW of r_1 in f means $f = \alpha_1 a_1 r_1 b_1 + f_1$ where $a_1, b_1 \in X^*,\ \alpha_1 \in F$ and $\bar{f} = a_1\overline{r_1}b_1$. Generally, $f \mapsto f_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto f_n \mapsto r$ means that $f = \sum \alpha_i a_i r_i b_i + r$ where $\bar{f} = a_1\overline{r_1}b_1 > a_2\overline{r_2}b_2 > \cdots > a_n\overline{r_n}b_n > r$. If this is the case, we say that f can be reduced to r via $\{r_1, \ldots, r_n\}$.

Clearly, if $(f,g)_w$ can be reduced to zero by ELW of S, then $(f,g)_w \equiv 0 \ \ mod(S,w)$.

The following lemma was first proved by Shirshov [9] for free Lie algebras (with deg-lex ordering) (see also Bokut [2]). Bokut [3] specialized the approach of Shirshov to associative algebras (see also Bergman [1]). For commutative polynomials, this lemma is known as Buchberger's Theorem (see [6, 7]).

Lemma 2.1 (Composition-Diamond Lemma) *Let F be a field, $A = F\langle X|S\rangle = F\langle X\rangle/Id(S)$ and $<$ a monomial ordering on X^*, where $Id(S)$ is the ideal of $F\langle X\rangle$ generated by S. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

(1) S is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis.

(2) $f \in Id(S) \Rightarrow \bar{f} = a\bar{s}b$ for some $s \in S$ and $a, b \in X^*$.

(3) $Irr(S) = \{u \in X^* | u \neq a\bar{s}b, s \in S, a, b \in X^*\}$ *is a* F*-basis of the algebra* $A = F\langle X|S\rangle$.

If a subset S of $F\langle X\rangle$ is not a Gröbner-Shirshov basis then one can add all nontrivial compositions of polynomials of S to S. Continuing this process repeatedly, we finally obtain a Gröbner-Shirshov basis S^{comp} that contains S. Such a process is called Shirshov algorithm.

A set S is called *reduced Gröbner-Shirshov basis* if it is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis and there are no inclusion compositions in S.

A set S is called *pre-Gröbner-Shirshov basis* if there exists a subset $R \subset F\langle X\rangle$ such that the following conditions hold.

(i) $Id(R) = Id(S)$ and R is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis. R is called a Gröbner-Shirshov basis with related to S.

(ii) For any $r \in R$, there exists $s \in S$ with $|\bar{s}| = |\bar{r}|$ such that either $r = s$ or there exists a finite sequence of ELW's of $S \setminus \{s\}$, $s = s_0 \mapsto s_1 \mapsto \cdots \mapsto s_n = r$, i.e., s can be reduced to r via $S \setminus \{s\}$.

Lemma 2.2 *Let* $S \subset F\langle X\rangle$ *be an effective set (in a plurally algebraic language, one may say that for any* $n \geq 0$*, one knows all polynomials* $s \in S_n$ *of degree less or equal* n *from* S*, and there are finite number of these polynomials.) If* S *is a pre-Gröbner-Shirshov basis, then the word problem is solvable for the algebra* $F\langle X|S\rangle = F\langle X\rangle/Id(S)$.

Proof Let $f \in F\langle X\rangle$ be a polynomial of degree $n \geq 1$, R be a Gröbner-Shirshov basis with related to S. Then $f \in Id(S)$ iff f goes to 0 by the ELW of R. So we need only to know all polynomials $r \in R_n$ of degree less or equal than n from R. From the definition of a pre-Gröbner-Shirshov basis, R_n is a result of the ELW of S_n for polynomials from S_n. Since we know S_n, we can find R_n effectively. ■

Let $A = sgp\langle X|S\rangle$ be a semigroup presentation. Then S is also a subset of $F\langle X\rangle$ and we can find Gröbner-Shirshov basis S^{comp}. We also call S^{comp} a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of A. The set $Irr(S^{comp}) = \{u \in S^* | u \neq a\bar{f}b,\ a, b \in X^*,\ f \in S^{comp}\}$ is a linear basis of $F\langle X|S\rangle$ which is also a set of all normal forms of A.

3 Gröbner-Shirshov bases of Coxeter groups

Let $\Sigma = \{\sigma_1, \cdots, \sigma_n\}$ be a finite set. Let $M = (m_{ij})$ be a symmetric $n \times n$ matrix over the natural numbers together with ∞, such that $m_{ii} = 1,\ 2 \leq m_{ij} \leq \infty$ for $i \neq j$. Such an M is called a Coxeter matrix. Now, we use W to denote

$$W = W(M) = sgp\langle \Sigma | (\sigma_i\sigma_j)^{m_{ij}} = 1,\ 1 \leq i,\ j \leq n,\ m_{ij} \neq \infty\rangle.$$

W is called the Coxeter group (see, for example, [5]) with respect to Coxeter matrix M.

We order Σ^* by the deg-lex ordering, where $\sigma_1 < \cdots < \sigma_n$.

For any i, j $(1 \leq i, j \leq n)$, denote by $m_{\sigma_i\sigma_j} = m_{ij}$. For any $s, s' \in \Sigma$, we now define for finite $m_{ss'}$ the following notation:

$m(s,s') = ss'\cdots$(there are $m_{ss'}$ alternative letters s, s'),

$(m-i)(s,s') = ss'\cdots$ (there are $m_{ss'} - i$ alternative letters s, s', $1 \leq i \leq m_{ss'}$).

With the above notation, the defining relations of W can be presented in the following forms

$$s^2 = 1 \tag{1}$$

$$m(s,s') = m(s',s), \ s > s' \tag{2}$$

for all $s, s' \in \Sigma$ and finite $m_{ss'}$.

Define $s \rhd s'$ if $s > s'$ and $m_{ss'} = 2$.

Lemma 3.1 *([4]) In group W, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} & (m-1)(s_0,s'_0)(m-1)(s_1,s'_1)\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s'_k)m(s_{k+1},s'_{k+1}) \\ = \ & m(s'_0,s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s'_1)\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s'_k)(m-1)(s_{k+1},s'_{k+1}) \end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

where $k \geq 0$, $s_0, s'_0, \ldots, s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1} \in \Sigma$ and for any i, $0 \leq i \leq k$

$$s'_{i+1} = \begin{cases} s'_i & \text{if } m_{s_i s'_i} \text{ is even,} \\ s_i & \text{if } m_{s_i s'_i} \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Proof Since

$$\begin{aligned} & (m-1)(s_0,s'_0)(m-1)(s_1,s'_1)\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s'_k)m(s_{k+1},s'_{k+1}) \\ = \ & (m-1)(s_0,s'_0)(m-1)(s_1,s'_1)\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s'_k)m(s'_{k+1},s_{k+1}) \\ = \ & (m-1)(s_0,s'_0)(m-1)(s_1,s'_1)\cdots m(s_k,s'_k)(m-1)(s_{k+1},s'_{k+1}) \\ = \ & \cdots \\ = \ & m(s'_0,s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s'_1)\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s'_k)(m-1)(s_{k+1},s'_{k+1}), \end{aligned}$$

we obtain the result. ■

Denote by

$$S = \{(1), (2), (3')\}$$

where $(3')$ consists of all relations in (3) with the extra properties

$$s_0 > s'_0, \ s_1 < s'_1, \ \cdots, \ s_k < s'_k, \ s_{k+1} < s'_{k+1} \tag{4}$$

$$\{s_i, s'_i\} \neq \{s_{i+1}, s'_{i+1}\}, \ 0 \leq i \leq k \tag{5}$$

It was conjectured in [4] that a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of W can be obtained from S using only commutative relations of W ($m(s,s') = m(s',s)$ where $m_{ss'} = 2$). The following example shows that this conjecture is not true in general.

Example 3.2 *Let $\Sigma = \{s_1, s_2, s_3, s_4\}$ with $s_1 < s_2 < s_3 < s_4$, $M = (m_{ij})$ the 4×4 Coxeter matrix where $m_{s_1s_2} = m_{s_2s_3} = m_{s_2s_4} = \infty$, $m_{s_1s_3} = 3$, $m_{s_1s_4} = 2$, $m_{s_3s_4} = 5$ and*

$m_{s_is_i}=1,\ i=1,2,3,4.$ *Then*

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) &= \{s_i^2=1,\ i=1,2,3,4\},\\
(2) &= \{s_4s_1=s_1s_4,\ s_3s_1s_3=s_1s_3s_1,\ s_4s_3s_4s_3s_4=s_3s_4s_3s_4s_3\},\\
(3') &= \{(m-1)(s_4,s_3)m(s_1,s_4)=m(s_3,s_4)(m-1)(s_1,s_4),\\
&\quad (m-1)(s_4,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_4)m(s_3,s_4)=m(s_3,s_4)(m-1)(s_1,s_4)(m-1)(s_3,s_4),\\
&\quad (m-1)(s_4,s_3)(m-1)(s_1,s_4)(m-1)(s_3,s_4)m(s_1,s_3)\\
&= m(s_3,s_4)(m-1)(s_1,s_4)(m-1)(s_3,s_4)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

A Gröbner-Shirshov basis of W *is* $(1)\cup(2)\cup(3'')$, *where*

$$\begin{aligned}
(3'') = &\{(m-1)(s_4,s_3)m(s_1,s_4)=m(s_3,s_4)(m-1)(s_1,s_4),\\
&(m-3)(s_4,s_3)s_1s_4s_3s_1(m-1)(s_4,s_3)=m(s_3,s_4)(m-1)(s_1,s_4)(m-1)(s_3,s_4),\\
&(m-3)(s_4,s_3)s_1s_4s_3s_1(m-3)(s_4,s_3)s_1s_4(m-1)(s_3,s_1)\\
&=m(s_3,s_4)(m-1)(s_1,s_4)(m-1)(s_3,s_4)(m-1)(s_1,s_3)\}
\end{aligned}$$

which are obtained from $(3')$ *by using the relations* $s_4s_1=s_1s_4,\ s_3s_1s_3=s_1s_3s_1$. ■

Then we give the following conjecture.

Conjecture (L.A. Bokut): The set of relations (1),(2),(3) is a pre-Gröbner-Shirshov basis of W.

In this paper, we will show that the above new conjecture is true when M satisfies some conditions.

Theorem 3.3 *Let* $S=\{(1),(2),(3')\}$. *Then if* S *is a pre-Gröbner-Shirshov basis of* W *then so is* $\{(1),(2),(3)\}$.

Proof It suffices to show that for any

$$\begin{aligned}
f \;=\; &(m-1)(s_0,s_0')(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')m(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}')\\
&-m(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}')
\end{aligned}$$

in (3) without property (4) or (5), f has an expression: $f=\sum a_ir_ib_i$, where $r_i\in S,\ a_i,b_i\in X^*$. We prove this by induction on k.

For $k=0$, $f=(m-1)(s_0,s_0')m(s_1,s_1')-m(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. f is without property (4).

If $s_1>s_1'$, then

$$f=(m-1)(s_0,s_0')(m(s_1,s_1')-m(s_1',s_1))+(m(s_0,s_0')-m(s_0',s_0))(m-1)(s_1,s_1').$$

If $s_0<s_0'$, then

$$f=-(m(s_0',s_0)-m(s_0,s_0'))(m-1)(s_1,s_1')+(m-1)(s_0,s_0')(m(s_1,s_1')-m(s_1',s_1)).$$

Case 2. f is without property (5).

If $\{s_0, s'_0\} = \{s_1, s'_1\}$, then by ELW's of $s_0^2 = 1$ and $s_0'^2 = 1$, $f \mapsto \cdots \mapsto f_{m_{s_0 s'_0}-1} = s'_0 - s'_0 = 0$.

Thus the result is true for $k = 0$.

For $k > 0$, there are also two cases to consider.

Case 1. f is without property (4).

If $s_{k+1} > s'_{k+1}$, then

$$f = (m-1)(s_0, s'_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1)\cdots(m-1)(s_k, s'_k)r_1 + r_2(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1})$$

where $r_1 = m(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) - m(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) \in (2)$ and

$$r_2 = (m-1)(s_0, s'_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1)\cdots m(s_k, s'_k) - m(s'_0, s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1)\cdots(m-1)(s_k, s'_k) \in (3).$$

By induction, r_2 is a combination of relations in $(3')$. Then the result follows.

If $s'_0 > s_0$, then

$$f = -r_1(m-1)(s_1, s'_1)\cdots(m-1)(s_k, s'_k)(m-1)(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) + (m-1)(s_0, s'_0)r_2$$

where $r_1 = m(s'_0, s_0) - m(s_0, s'_0) \in (2)$ and $r_2 = (m-1)(s_1, s'_1)\cdots(m-1)(s_k, s'_k)m(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) - m(s'_1, s_1)\cdots(m-1)(s_k, s'_k)(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1})$ is in (3). By induction, the result follows.

If there exists i, $0 < i < k+1$ such that $s_i > s'_i$, $s_0 > s'_0$, $s_{k+1} < s'_{k+1}$, then

$$f = (m-1)(s_0, s'_0)\cdots(m-1)(s_{i-1}, s'_{i-1})r_1 + r_2(m-1)(s_i, s'_i)\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1})$$

where $r_1 = (m-1)(s_i, s'_i)\cdots m(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) - m(s'_i, s_i)\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1})$, $r_2 = (m-1)(s_0, s'_0)\cdots m(s_{i-1}, s'_{i-1}) - m(s'_0, s_0)\cdots(m-1)(s_{i-1}, s'_{i-1})$, and both of them are in (3). By induction, the result follows.

Case 2. f is without property (5).

Let us have f with condition (4). Suppose $\{s_i, s'_i\} = \{s_{i+1}, s'_{i+1}\}$, $0 \le i \le k$.

If $i < k$, then by ELW's of $s_i^2 = 1$ and $s_i'^2 = 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} f \mapsto \cdots \ \mapsto \ & (m-1)(s_0, s'_0)\cdots(m-1)(s_{i-1}, s'_{i-1})(m-1)(s_{i+2}, s'_{i+2})\cdots m(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\ & -m(s'_0, s_0)\cdots(m-1)(s_{i-1}, s'_{i-1})(m-1)(s_{i+2}, s'_{i+2})\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

is in (3) since s'_{i+2} is the last second letter of $(m-1)(s_{i+1}, s'_{i+1})$ which, in fact, is s'_i. By induction, the result follows.

If $i = k$ then by ELW's of $s_k^2 = 1$ and $s_k'^2 = 1$,

$$f \mapsto \cdots \ \mapsto \ (m-1)(s_0, s'_0)\cdots m(s_{k-1}, s'_{k-1}) - m(s'_0, s_0)\cdots(m-1)(s_{k-1}, s'_{k-1})$$

is in (3). By induction, the result follows. ■

We will deal with inclusion compositions $(f, g)_w$, $\bar{f} = a\bar{g}b$, $w = \bar{f}$ and $f \in (3')$, $g \in (2)\cup(3')$. We will prove that in the most cases they are trivial except six cases in Theorems 3.13, 3.15, 3.17 and 3.18.

Notation:

We will fix two "typical" relations in $(3')$.

Let f be a relation in $(3')$,

$$\begin{aligned} & f = u_0 u_1 \cdots u_k u_{k+1} y_{k+1} - s'_0 u_0 u_1 \cdots u_k u_{k+1} = \bar{f} - f_0 \qquad (6) \\ & u_i = (m-1)(s_i, s'_i), \\ & x_i \ \text{ the last letter of } (m-1)(s_i, s'_i), \\ & y_i \ \text{ the last letter of } m(s_i, s'_i), \qquad 0 \le i \le k+1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\{x_i, s'_{i+1}\} = \{s_i, s'_i\}$, $y_i = s'_{i+1}$, $m(s_i s'_i) = (m-1)(s_i, s'_i) s'_{i+1}$, $\quad 0 \le i \le k.$

Let g be an other relation in $(3')$,

$$\begin{aligned} & g = v_0 v_1 \cdots v_q v_{q+1} z_{q+1} - p'_0 v_0 v_1 \cdots v_q v_{q+1} = \bar{g} - g_0 \qquad (7) \\ & v_i = (m-1)(p_i, p'_i), \\ & t_i \ \text{ the last letter of } v_i, \\ & z_i \ \text{ the last letter of } m(p_i, p'_i), \qquad 0 \le i \le q+1 \end{aligned}$$

where $\{t_i, p'_{i+1}\} = \{p_i, p'_i\}$, $z_i = p'_{i+1}$, $m(p_i p'_i) = (m-1)(p_i, p'_i) p'_{i+1}$, $\quad 0 \le i \le q.$

In Lemmas (Theorems) 3.4–3.15, we always assume that $f, g \in (3')$ with the forms (6), (7) respectively and $\bar{f} = a\bar{g}b$ for some words a, b.

Lemma 3.4 *If $\bar{f} = a\bar{g}$, then $a = 1$ and $f = g$.*

Proof Since $y_{k+1} = z_{q+1}$ and $x_{k+1} = t_{q+1}$, $u_{k+1} y_{k+1} = v_{q+1} z_{q+1}$. Since $x_k = t_q$ and $y_k = s'_{k+1} = p'_{q+1} = z_q$, $u_k y_k = v_q z_q$. Similarly, we have $u_{k-1} y_{k-1} = v_{q-1} z_{q-1}, \cdots, u_0 y_0 = v_0 z_0$. Then $a = 1$ and $\bar{f} = \bar{g}$.

Noting that $u_0 \cdots u_{k+1} = v_0 \cdots v_{q+1}$, in order to prove $\bar{f} = \bar{g}$ it is sufficient to show that $s'_0 = p'_0$. Induction on k.

If $k = 0$, then $y_1 = z_{q+1}$ and $x_1 = t_{q+1}$. Then $u_1 y_1 = v_{q+1} z_{q+1}$. Since $x_0 = t_q$ and $s'_1 = p'_{q+1}$, $u_0 y_0 = v_q p'_{q+1}$. Then $q = k = 0$ and $s'_0 = p'_0$.

For $k > 0$, we have $y_{k+1} = z_{q+1}$ and $x_{k+1} = t_{q+1}$, $u_{k+1} y_{k+1} = v_{q+1} z_{q+1}$. Then $y_k = z_q$.

Let $h = u_0 \cdots u_k y_k - s'_0 u_0 \cdots u_k$ and $q = v_0 \cdots v_q z_q - p'_0 v_0 \cdots v_q$. Clearly, $\bar{h} = \bar{q}$, Then by induction, we have $s'_0 = p'_0$. ■

Lemma 3.5 *If there exist i, j such that $s_i = p_j$, $s'_i = p'_j$ and u_i is a subword of $\bar{g}$, then $\bar{f} = \bar{g}$.*

Proof If $i = 0$ then $j = 0$ since $u_i y_i = v_j z_j$. Then $s'_1 = y_0 = z_0 = p'_1$. Since $\bar{g}$ is a subword of $\bar{f}$, $s_1 = p_1$ and $u_2 y_2 = v_2 z_2$. Hence $u_i y_i = v_i z_i$ for any i, $1 \le i \le k+1$. Then $\bar{f} = \bar{g}$.

If $i \ne 0$, then $j \ne 0$. Otherwise, we have $p_0 = s_i < s'_{i+1} = p'_0$, a contradiction. Then $x_{i-1} = t_{j-1}$. Since $y_i = s'_{i+1} = p'_{j+1} = z_j$, $u_{i-1} y_i = v_{j-1} z_j$. Similarly, we have $u_{i-2} y_{i-2} = v_{j-2} z_{j-2}, \cdots, u_0 y_0 = v_0 z_0$ and $j = i$. Also, $s_{i+1} = p_{i+1}$, $s'_{i+1} = y_i = z_i = p'_{i+1}$ imply that $u_{i+1} y_{i+1} = v_{i+1} z_{i+1}$. Therefore, $u_{i+2} y_{i+2} = v_{i+2} z_{i+2}, \cdots, u_{k+1} y_{k+1} = v_{k+1} z_{k+1}$. ■

In what follows we assume that $\bar{f} \ne \bar{g}$.

Lemma 3.6 *If there exists* $i > 0$ *such that* $|u_i| > 1$, $\bar{g} = cu_id$, $\bar{f} = acu_idb$, $ac = u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}$ *and* $c = v_0 \cdots v_{j-1}$, *then* $u_i = v_jv_{j+1} \cdots v_n$ *and* $|v_j| = \cdots = |v_n| = 1$.

Moreover, if u_{i+1} *is also a subword of* $\bar{g}$, *then* $u_{i+1} = v_{n+1} \cdots v_l$ *such that* $|v_j| = \cdots = |v_l| = 1$.

Proof By Lemma 3.5 and $\bar{f} \neq \bar{g}$, we have $u_i \neq v_j$.

Since $v_j = (m-1)(s_i, p'_j)$ and $u_i \neq v_j$, $p'_j \neq s'_i$. Then $|v_j| = 1$ and $v_{j+1} = (m-1)(s'_i, p'_j)$. If $|v_{j+1}| > 1$, then $p'_j = s_{i+1}$ and $u_i = s_is'_i$. Now, $s'_i < p'_j = s_{i+1} < s'_{i+1} = s_i$, a contradiction. Then $|v_{j+1}| = 1$. This shows that $u_i = v_jv_{j+1} \cdots v_n$ such that $|v_j| = \cdots = |v_n| = 1$.

If u_{i+1} is also a subword of $\bar{g}$, we have $v_{n+1} = (m-1)(s_{i+1}, p'_j)$. If $|u_{i+1}| > 1$, then by a similar proof of the above, we have $u_{i+1} = v_{n+1} \cdots v_l$ such that $|v_{n+1}| = \cdots = |v_l| = 1$. If $|u_{i+1}| = 1$ and $|v_{n+1}| > 1$, then $p'_j = s_{i+2}$, $s'_{i+1} < s_{i+2} < s'_{i+2} \in \{s_{i+1}, s'_{i+1}\}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $|v_{n+1}| = 1$ and $u_{i+1} = v_{n+1}$. ■

Lemma 3.7 *If there exist* i, i' $(i' \geq 1)$ *such that* $u_i \cdots u_l = v_{i'} \cdots v_{q+1}$, *then* $|u_i| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$.

Proof Suppose there exists a minimal j $(i \leq j \leq l)$ such that $|u_j| > 1$. We will show that $\bar{g} = cu_jd$, where $c = v_0 \cdots v_n$, $i' - 1 \leq n \leq q$. Otherwise, s_j is a subword of v_n. Then $v_n = (m-1)(s_{j-1}, s_j) = s_{j-1}s_j$ and $v_{n+1} = (m-1)(s'_j, s_{j-1})$ $(j > 1)$. So, $s'_j < s_{j-1} < s_j$, a contradiction.

Then by Lemma 3.6, we have $u_j = v_{n+1} \cdots v_{l'}$ such that $|v_{n+1}| = \cdots = |v_{l'}| = 1$.

Moreover, $u_{j+1} \cdots u_l = v_{l'+1} \cdots v_{q+1}$ such that $|v_{l'+1}| = \cdots = |v_{q+1}| = 1$. Then $z_{q+1} = s_{l+1}$ and there exists v_p $(n+1 \leq p \leq q+1)$ such that $s'_{l+1} = v_p < s_{l+1}$, a contradiction. ■

Lemma 3.8 *If* $\bar{f} = \bar{g}b$ *with* $b \neq 1$, *then* $|u_0| = 1$ *or* $|u_0| = 2$.

Proof If $|u_0| > 2$, then $|v_0| = 1$. Otherwise, by Lemma 3.5, $\bar{f} = \bar{g}$, a contradiction. Clearly, $|v_1| = 1$. Then $p_2 = s_0$ and $p_2 < p'_2 = p'_0 < p_0 = s_0$, a contradiction. ■

Lemma 3.9 *Suppose that* $\bar{f} = \bar{g}b = \bar{g}(m-2)(s'_{l+1}, s_{l+1})u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}$. *Then* $|u_1| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$, $|v_0| = 1$ *and* $(f, g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv 0$.

Proof There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $u_0 = s_0$.

We will show that $v_0 = s_0$. Otherwise, $v_0 = s_0s_1$. If $|u_1| > 1$, then $v_1 = (m-1)(s'_1, s_0) = (m-1)(s'_0, s_0) = s'_0 = s'_1$, $u_1 = s_1s'_1$ and $v_2 \cdots v_{q+1} = u_2 \cdots u_l$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $|u_2| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$. Then there exists $s_j \in \{s_2, \cdots, s_{l+1}\}$ such that $s_j = s_0$, a contradiction. Then $|u_1| = 1$ and $v_1 \cdots v_{q+1} = u_2 \cdots u_l$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $|u_2| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$. This implies that there exists $l + 1 \geq j > 1$ such that $s_j = s_0$, a contradiction.

Since $v_0 = s_0$ and $v_1 \cdots v_{q+1} = u_1 \cdots u_l$, by Lemma 3.7, we have $|u_1| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$.

Suppose $p'_0 = s_j$ where $s_j \in \{s_2, \cdots, s_{l+1}\}$. If $j < l+1$, there exists an i such that $|v_i| > 1$ and so $|u_{l+1}| = 1$. By Lemma 3.10, $(f,g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv 0$.

If $j = l+1$, then $s_0 \rhd s_{l+1} \rhd s_j$, $s_1 \rhd s'_{l+1} \rhd s_j$ for any j, $1 \le j \le l$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(f,g)_{\bar{f}} &\equiv s_{l+1}s_0s_1\cdots s_l(m-2)(s'_{l+1}, s_{l+1})\cdots m(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
&\quad -s'_0 s_{l+1}s_0s_1\cdots s_l(m-2)(s'_{l+1}, s_{l+1})\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
&\equiv s_{l+1}s'_{l+1}s_0s_1\cdots s_l(m-3)(s_{l+1}, s'_{l+1})\cdots m(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
&\quad -s'_0 s_{l+1}s'_{l+1}s_0s_1\cdots s_l(m-3)(s_{l+1}, s'_{l+1})\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
&\quad \cdots \\
&\equiv (m-1)(s_{l+1}, s'_{l+1})s_0s_1\cdots s_l(m-1)(s_{l+2}, s'_{l+2})\cdots m(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
&\quad -s'_0(m-1)(s_{l+1}, s'_{l+1})s_0s_1\cdots s_l(m-1)(s_{l+2}, s'_{l+2})\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
&\equiv (m-1)(s_{l+1}, s'_{l+1})s'_{l+2}s_0s_1\cdots s_l(m-1)(s_{l+2}, s'_{l+2})\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
&\quad -(m-1)(s_{l+1}, s'_{l+1})s'_{l+2}s_0s_1\cdots s_l(m-1)(s_{l+2}, s'_{l+2})\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
&\equiv 0
\end{aligned}$$

since $s'_{l+1} = \cdots = s'_0$, $(m-1)(s_{l+1}, s'_{l+1})s'_{l+2} = m(s_{l+1}, s'_{l+1})$ and $h = s_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s'_{l+2}s_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}$ in (3) with property (4).

Case 2. $u_0 = s_0s'_0$. Then $v_0 = s_0$, $v_1 = (m-1)(s'_0, p'_0)$. There are two subcases to consider.

Subcase 1. $|v_1| > 1$. Then $p'_0 = s_1$ and $|u_1| = 1$. If $|v_1| > 2$, then $s_2 = s'_0$, $v_1 = s'_0s_1s'_0$ and $u_2 = s_2s'_2 = s'_0s_0$. This shows $v_2 = (m-1)(s_0, s_1)$, a contradiction. Then $v_1 = s'_0s_1$ and $v_2\cdots v_{q+1} = u_2\cdots u_l$. By Lemma 3.7, $|u_2| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$. Clearly, $s'_0 \notin \{s_2, \cdots, s_{l-1}\}$, otherwise, there exists u_i $(2 \le i \le l)$ such that $s_{i-1} = s'_0$ and $u_i = (m-1)(s'_0, s_0)$ which contradicts $|u_i| = 1$.

Then $|v_2| = \cdots = |v_{q+1}| = 1$ and $s_{l+1} = s'_0$, $s'_{l+1} = s_0$. Now,

$$\begin{aligned}
(f,g)_{\bar{f}} &\equiv s_1s_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l s'_{l+1}u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s'_0s_1s_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l s'_{l+1}u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1} \\
&\equiv s_1s'_0s_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s'_0s_1s'_0s_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1} \\
&\equiv s_1s'_0s_0s_1s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1} - s'_0s_1s'_0s_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}
\end{aligned}$$

since $h = s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_1s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}$ in (3) with property (4) and $s'_{l+2} = s_{l+1} = s'_0$.

Since $s_0 \rhd s_1$, $m_{s_1s'_0} = 3$ and $s_1 > s'_0$, we have $s_1s'_0s_0s_1 \mapsto s_1s'_0s_1s_0 \mapsto s'_0s_1s'_0s_0$ and hence $(f,g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv 0$.

Subcase 2. $|v_1| = 1$. Then $v_2\cdots v_{q+1} = u_1\cdots u_l$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $|u_1| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$.

Suppose $p'_0 = s_j$ where $s_j \in \{s_1, \cdots, s_{l+1}\}$. If $j < l+1$, then $|u_{l+1}| = 1$. If $j = l+1$, we have $|u_{l+1}| = 1$ since $s_0 \rhd s_{l+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
(f,g)_{\bar{f}} &\equiv s_js_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s'_0s_js_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1} \\
&\equiv s_js'_0s_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1} - s'_0s_js_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1} \\
&\equiv s'_0s_js_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1} - s'_0s_js_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1} \\
&\equiv 0
\end{aligned}$$

since $s_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s'_0s_0s'_0s_1\cdots s_l u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}$ is in (3). ■

Lemma 3.10 *If $|u_i| = \cdots = |u_{l+1}| = 1$ and $u_i \cdots u_{l+1} = \bar{g}$, then $(f,g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv 0$.*

Proof Clearly, $\bar{g} = u_i \cdots u_{l+1} \mapsto u_j u_i \cdots u_l = g_0$ for some $i < j \leq l+1$.

If $i = 0$, then

$$(f,g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv u_j u_0 \cdots u_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} y_{k+1} - u_j s'_0 u_i \cdots u_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} \equiv s_j h$$

where $h = u_0 \cdots u_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} y_{k+1} - s'_0 u_0 \cdots u_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}$ is in (3) with property (4) and $s_j \bar{h} < \bar{f}$. By Theorem 3.3, the result follows.

If $i > 0$, then

$$(f,g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv u_0 \cdots u_{i-1} u_j u_i \cdots u_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} y_{k+1} - s_0 u_0 \cdots u_{i-1} u_j u_i \cdots u_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} \triangleq h$$

where h is in (3) with property (4) and $\bar{h} < \bar{f}$. By Theorem 3.3, the result follows. ■

The following lemmas are dealing with the case $\bar{f} = a\bar{g}b$, $a \neq 1$, $b \neq 1$.

In Lemmas (Theorems) 3.11–3.16, i and l are fixed such that $0 \leq i < l \leq k$, $u_0 \cdots u_{i-1} = 1$ if $i = 0$ and $u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} = 1$ if $l = k$.

Lemma 3.11 *If $\bar{f} = u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i, s'_i)\bar{g}(m-2)(s'_{l+1}, s_{l+1})u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} y_{k+1}$, then $|u_{i+1}| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$.*

Proof There are three cases to consider.

Case 1. $v_0 = x_i$. Then $v_1 \cdots v_{q+1} = u_{i+1} \cdots u_l$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $|u_{i+1}| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$.

Case 2. $v_0 = (m-1)(x_i, s_{i+1})$ and $|v_0| > 2$. Then $|u_{i+1}| = 1$ and $s_{i+2} = x_i$. If $|u_i| > 1$, then $v_0 = x_i s_{i+1} x_i$ and $v_1 = (m-1)(s'_{i+2}, s_{i+1})$, where $s'_{i+2} = s'_{i+1} < s_{i+1}$, a contradiction. Then, $|u_i|$=1 and $v_0 = u_i u_{i+1} \cdots u_j$ such that $|u_i| = \cdots = |u_j| = 1$ for some j. Then $v_2 \cdots v_{q+1} = u_{j+1} \cdots u_l$ and by Lemma 3.7, $|u_{j+1}| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$. Moreover, $|u_{l+1}| = 1$.

Case 3. $v_0 = (m-1)(x_i, s_{i+1})$ and $|v_0| = 2$, i.e., $v_0 = x_i s_{i+1}$. If $|u_{i+1}| > 1$, we have $v_1 = (m-1)(s'_{i+1}, x_i)$. If $i = 0$, then $x_0 = s_0$ and $s'_1 = s'_0$. If $|v_1| > 1$, then $s_2 = x_0 = s_0$, a contradiction. Then $|u_0| = |v_1| = 1, p_0 = u_0$, a contradiction. Then $i > 0$. Moreover, $s'_{i+1} = s_i$, $m_{s_i s'_i}$ is odd, $x_i = s_{i+2}$ and $u_{i+1} = s_{i+1} s'_{i+1}$. Then $s'_{i+2} = s_{i+1}$ and $s'_{i+1} < s_{i+2} < s'_{i+2} = s_{i+1}$, also a contradiction. Thus $|u_{i+1}| = 1$ and $u_{i+2} \cdots u_l = v_1 \cdots v_{q+1}$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $|u_{i+2}| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$. ■

Lemma 3.12 *If $\bar{f} = u_0 \cdots u_i(m-2)(s_i, s'_i)\bar{g}(m-2)(s'_{l+1}, s_{l+1})u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} y_{k+1}$, and either $|u_i| = 1$ or $|u_{l+1}| = 1$, then $(f,g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv 0$.*

Proof There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $|u_i| = 1$. Suppose $p'_0 = s_j$. Then $g = s_i \cdots s_{l+1} - s_j s_i \cdots s_l$.

If $j = l+1$, i.e., $p'_0 = s_{l+1}$, then we have $s'_{l+1} \rhd s_i \rhd s_{l+1},\ s_{l+1} \rhd s_n,\ s'_{l+1} \rhd s_n$ for all $n,\ i+1 \le n \le l$, and

$$\begin{aligned}
(f,g)_{\bar f} &\equiv u_0\cdots u_{i-1}s_{l+1}s_i\cdots s_l(m-2)(s'_{l+1},s_{l+1})\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\
&\quad -s'_0u_0\cdots u_{i-1}s_{l+1}s_i\cdots s_l(m-2)(s'_{l+1},s_{l+1})\cdots u_{k+1}\\
&\equiv u_0\cdots u_{i-1}s_{l+1}s'_{l+1}s_i\cdots s_l(m-3)(s_{l+1},s'_{l+1})\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\
&\quad -s'_0u_0\cdots u_{i-1}s_{l+1}s'_{l+1}s_i\cdots s_l(m-3)(s_{l+1},s'_{l+1})\cdots u_{k+1}\\
&\equiv u_0\cdots u_{i-1}u_{l+1}s_i\cdots s_lu_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\
&\quad -s'_0u_0\cdots u_{i-1}u_{l+1}s_i\cdots s_lu_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}\\
&\equiv 0
\end{aligned}$$

since $u_0\cdots u_{i-1}u_{l+1}u_i\cdots u_lu_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}-s_0u_0\cdots u_{i-1}u_{l+1}u_i\cdots u_lu_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}$ is in (3).

If $j < l+1$, then there exists i' such that $|v_{i'}| > 1$ which implies $|u_{l+1}| = 1$. Then by Lemma 3.10, $(f,g)_{\bar f} \equiv 0$.

Case 2. $|u_{l+1}| = 1$ and $|u_i| \ne 1$. Suppose $p'_0 = s_j$. Then $x_i \rhd s_j \rhd s_{i+1},\cdots,s_{j-1}$, $s'_{i+1} = s'_{j+1} \rhd s_j$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
(f,g)_{\bar f} &\equiv u_0\cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i,s'_i)s_jx_i\cdots s_lu_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\
&\quad -s'_0u_0\cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i,s'_i)s_jx_i\cdots s_lu_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Since $(m-2)(s_i,s'_i)s_j \mapsto \cdots \mapsto s_j(m-2)(s_i,s'_i)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(f,g)_{\bar f} &\equiv u_0\cdots u_{i-1}s_j(m-1)(s_i,s'_i)s_{i+1}\cdots s_lu_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\
&\quad -s'_0u_0\cdots u_{i-1}s_j(m-1)(s_i,s'_i)s_{i+1}\cdots s_lu_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}\\
&\equiv 0
\end{aligned}$$

since $u_0\cdots u_{i-1}u_ju_iu_{i+1}\cdots u_lu_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}-s'_0u_0\cdots u_{i-1}u_ju_is_{i+1}\cdots u_lu_{l+1}\cdots u_{k+1}$ is in (3). ■

Theorem 3.13 *Suppose that* $\bar f = u_0\cdots u_i(m-2)(s_i,s'_i)\bar g(m-2)(s'_{l+1},s_{l+1})u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}$, $|u_i| > 1$ *and* $|u_{l+1}| > 1$. *Then one of the following holds:*

(i) $|v_n| = 1$ *for all* $n,\ 0 \le n \le q+1$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}
(f,g)_{\bar f} &\equiv u_0\cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i,s'_i)s_{l+1}x_is_{i+1}\cdots s_l(m-2)(s'_{l+1},s_{l+1})u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\
&\quad -s'_0u_0\cdots u_{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

(ii) $|v_0| = 2,\ |v_n| = 1$ *for all* $n,\ 1 \le n \le q+1$ *and*

if $m_{s_is'_i} = 3$, *then* $(f,g)_{\bar f} \equiv 0$;

if $m_{s_is'_i} > 3$, *then* $s_{l+1} = x_i,\ s'_{l+1} = s'_{i+1} = y_i$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}
(f,g)_{\bar f} &\equiv u_0\cdots u_{i-1}(m-3)(s_i,s'_i)s_{i+1}s'_{i+1}x_is_{i+1}\cdots s_l(m-2)(s'_{l+1},s_{l+1})u_{l+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\
&\quad -s'_0u_0\cdots u_{k+1}.
\end{aligned}$$

Proof By Lemma 3.11, we have $|u_{i+1}| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$ and so $\bar{g} = x_i s_{i+1} \cdots s_l s_{l+1}$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $v_0 = x_i$. Then $|v_n| = 1$ for all n, $1 \leq n \leq q+1$. Otherwise, $z_{q+1} = s_{l+1} \in \{s_{i+1}, \cdots, s_l\}$ which shows $|u_{l+1}| = 1$, a contradiction. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(f,g)_{\bar{f}} &\equiv u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i, s_i')s_{l+1}x_i s_{i+1} \cdots s_l (m-2)(s_{l+1}', s_{l+1})u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} \\ &\quad - s_0' u_0 \cdots u_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $v_0 = x_i s_{i+1}$. Then $|v_n| = 1$ for all n, $1 \leq n \leq q+1$. Otherwise, we have $s_j = p_0 = x_i$ for some j $(i+1 < j < l+1)$. Then $u_j = (m-1)(x_i, s_{i+1}')$ and $|u_j| = |u_i| > 1$, a contradiction. Therefore $z_{q+1} = x_i = s_{l+1}$, $u_{l+1} = (m-1)(x_i, s_{i+1}')$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(f,g)_{\bar{f}} &\equiv u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}x_i s_{i+1} \cdots s_l (m-2)(s_{l+1}', s_{l+1})u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} \\ &\quad - s_0' u_0 \cdots u_{k+1} \\ &\equiv u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-3)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}s_{i+1}'x_i s_{i+1} \cdots s_l (m-2)(s_{l+1}', s_{l+1})u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} \\ &\quad - s_0' u_0 \cdots u_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

If $m_{s_i s_i'} = 3$, we have $s_{i+1}' = s_i$, $x_i = s_i' = s_{l+1} < s_{l+1}' = s_{i+1}' = s_i$. Therefore $i = 0$ and

$$\begin{aligned}(f,g)_{\bar{f}} &\equiv s_1 s_0 s_0' s_1 \cdots s_l s_0 u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0' s_1 s_0 s_0' s_1 \cdots s_l s_0 u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} \\ &\equiv s_1 s_0' s_0 s_0' s_1 \cdots s_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0' s_1 s_0' s_0 s_0' s_1 \cdots s_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} \\ &\equiv s_1 s_0' s_0 s_1 s_0' s_1 \cdots s_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} - s_1 s_0' s_1 s_0 s_0' s_1 \cdots s_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} \\ &\equiv s_1 s_0' s_1 s_0 s_0' s_1 \cdots s_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} - s_1 s_0' s_1 s_0 s_0' s_1 \cdots s_l u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} \\ &\equiv 0.\end{aligned}$$

If $m_{s_i s_i'} > 3$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f,g)_{\bar{f}} &\equiv u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-3)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}s_{i+1}'x_i s_{i+1} \cdots s_l (m-2)(s_{l+1}', s_{l+1})u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} \\ &\quad - s_0' u_0 \cdots u_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. ■

Lemma 3.14 *Suppose* $\bar{f} = u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-3)(s_i, s_i')\bar{g}(m-2)(s_{l+1}', s_{l+1})u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}$. *Then* $|u_{i+1}| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$.

Proof Clearly, $v_0 = s_{i+1}'$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $v_1 = x_i$. Since $u_{i+1} \cdots u_l = v_2 \cdots v_{q+1}$, by Lemma 3.7, we have $|u_{i+1}| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$.

Case 2. $v_1 = (m-1)(x_i, s_{i+1})$. We have $m_{s_{i+1}s_{i+1}'} = 2$, i.e., $|u_{i+1}| = 1$.

If $|v_1| > 2$, then $s_{i+2} = x_i$. We have $|u_{i+2}| > 1$, $|v_1| = 3$, $v_2 = (m-1)(s_{i+2}', s_{i+1})$ and $s_{i+1}' = s_{i+2}' < s_{i+1}$, a contradiction. Then $|v_1| = 2$ and $v_2 \cdots v_{q+1} = u_{i+2} \cdots u_l$. By Lemma 3.7, we have $|u_{i+2}| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$. ■

Theorem 3.15 *Suppose that* $\bar{f} = u_0 \cdots u_i(m-3)(s_i, s_i')\bar{g}(m-2)(s_{l+1}', s_{l+1})u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}$ *and* $|u_{l+1}| > 1$. *Then one of the following holds.*

(i) $|v_0| = |v_1| = 1$ *and*

if $i = 0$, *then* $(f, g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv 0$;

if $i > 0$, *then*

$$(f, g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}s_is_j(m-2)(s_i', s_i)s_{i+1} \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{k+1}.$$

(ii) $|v_0| = 1,\ |v_1| = 2,\ |v_n| = 1$ *for all* n $(1 < n \leq q+1)$ *and*

$$\begin{aligned}(f, g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv\ & u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-3)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}s_{i+1}'x_is_{i+1} \cdots s_l(m-2)(s_{i+1}'x_i)u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} \\ & -s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof By Lemma 3.14, $|u_{i+1}| = \cdots = |u_l| = 1$ and $v_0 = s_{i+1}'$. There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $v_1 = x_i$. There exists $s_j = p_0'$, where $s_j \in \{s_{i+1}, \cdots, s_{l+1}\}$. If $j = l+1$, then $|v_n| = 1$ for all n and $s_{l+1}' = s_{i+1}' \rhd s_{l+1}$. Thus, $|u_{l+1}| = 1$. If $j \neq l+1$, there exists $|v_n| > 1$ $(n > 1)$. Then $s_{l+1} \in \{s_{i+1}, \cdots, s_l\}$ and $|u_{l+1}| = 1$.

If $i > 0$, then $s_{i+1}' = s_i'$, $x_i = s_i$. Hence $m_{s_is_i'}$ is even and $s_{i+1}' = \cdots = s_{l+1}' = s_i'$. Now by ELW's, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f, g)_{\bar{f}} & \equiv u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-3)(s_i, s_i')s_js_i's_is_{i+1} \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{k+1} \\ & \equiv u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}s_is_j(m-2)(s_i', s_i)s_{i+1} \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

If $i = 0$, $s_1' = s_0$ since $s_1' > x_0$. Then $m_{s_0s_0'}$ is odd and $s_0 = s_1' = s_2' = \cdots s_{l+1}' = s_{l+2}'$. Since $h = u_0s_1 \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0'u_0s_1 \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}$ is in (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f, g)_{\bar{f}} & \equiv (m-3)(s_0, s_0')s_js_0s_0's_1 \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} \\ & \quad -(m-2)(s_0', s_0)s_js_0s_0's_1 \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} \\ & \equiv s_ju_0s_1 \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0's_ju_0s_1 \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} \\ & \equiv s_ju_0s_1 \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_js_0'u_0s_1 \cdots s_lu_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1} \\ & \equiv 0.\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $v_1 = x_is_{i+1}$. Clearly, $x_i \notin \{s_{i+1}, \cdots, s_l\}$. Otherwise, $x_i = s_j$ for some j $(i+1 \leq j \leq l)$ and so $|u_j| = |u_i| > 1$, a contradiction. Then $x_i = s_{l+1}$, $|v_2| = \cdots = |v_{q+1}| = 1$ and $u_{l+1} = (m-1)(x_i, s_{i+1}')$. Moreover, we have $s_{i+1}' \rhd s_{i+1} > x_i$ and $m_{s_is_i'} > 2$, $m_{x_is_{i+1}} = 3$. By ELW's, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f, g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv\ & u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-3)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}s_{i+1}'x_is_{i+1} \cdots s_l(m-2)(s_{i+1}'x_i)u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} \\ & -s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

If $m_{s_is_i'} = 3$, then $|u_i| = 2$, $x_i = s_i'$ and $s_{i+1}' = s_i$. Since $s_{i+1}' > s_{i+1} > x_i$, we have $i = 0$ and $a = 1$, which contradicts $a \neq 1$. Then $|u_i| > 2$ and $m_{s_is_i'}$ is even. ■

Now, let

$$g = m(s, s') - m(s', s), \quad s > s'$$

be a relation in (2) and f be as (6) again. In the following Lemma (Theorems) 3.16–3.18, we will deal with another inclusion compositions $(f, g)_w$, $w = \bar{f} = a\bar{g}b$, $\bar{g} = m(s, s')$. There are another two nontrivial cases which will be mentioned in Theorems 3.17 and 3.18.

Lemma 3.16 *If* $\bar{f} = u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}\bar{g}u_{l+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}$, *then* $|u_i| \cdots = |u_{l+1}| = 1$ *and* $(f, g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv 0$.

Proof If there exists j such that $|u_j| > 1$, there will be three different letters in $\bar{g}$, a contradiction. Therefore, $|u_i| = \cdots = |u_{l+1}| = 1$.

Similarly to the proof of Lemma 3.10, the result holds. ■

Theorem 3.17 *Suppose* $\bar{f} = u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i, s_i')\bar{g}(m-2)(s_{i+1}', s_{i+1})u_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}$, *where* $0 \le i \le k$, $u_0 \cdots u_{i-1} = 1$ *if* $i = 0$, $u_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1} = 1$ *if* $i \le k$. *Then the following statements hold.*

(i) $g = x_i s_{i+1} - s_{i+1}x_i,\ x_i > s_{i+1}$.

(ii) $(f, g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv 0$ *if* $|u_i| = 1$ *or* $|u_{i+1}| = 1$.

(iii) *If* $|u_i| > 1$ *and* $|u_{i+1}| > 1$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}(f, g)_{\bar{f}} \ &\equiv\ u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}x_i(m-2)(s_{i+1}', s_{i+1})u_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\ &\quad -s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof (i) is clear.

(ii) Suppose $|u_i| = 1$. Since $u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_iy_{i+1} - s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_i$ is in (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f, g)_{\bar{f}} \ &\equiv\ u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}s_{i+1}s_i(m-2)(s_{i+1}', s_{i+1})u_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\ &\quad -s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}s_{i+1}s_i(m-2)(s_{i+1}', s_{i+1})u_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv\ u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_iu_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_iu_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv\ u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_iy_{i+1}u_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1} - s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_iu_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv\ 0.\end{aligned}$$

Suppose $|u_{i+1}| = 1$. Since $u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_iy_{i+1} - s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_i$ is in (3), we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f, g)_{\bar{f}} \ &\equiv\ u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}x_iu_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\ &\quad -s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}x_iu_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv\ u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_iu_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_iu_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv\ u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_iy_{i+1}u_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1} - s_0'u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}u_{i+1}s_iu_{i+2} \cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv\ 0.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Suppose $|u_i| > 1$ and $|u_{i+1}| > 1$. Then by ELW's, we have

$$(f,g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}x_i(m-2)(s_{i+1}', s_{i+1})u_{i+2}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0'u_0\cdots u_{k+1}.$$

The proof is completed. ■

Theorem 3.18 *Suppose* $\bar{f} = u_0 \cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i, s_i')\bar{g}(m-2)(s_{i+2}', s_{i+2})u_{i+3}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}$, *where* $0 \le i \le k-1$, $u_0\cdots u_{i-1} = 1$ *if* $i = 0$, $u_{i+3}\cdots u_{k+1} = 1$ *if* $i = k-1$. *Then the following statements hold.*

(i) $g = x_i s_{i+1} x_i - s_{i+1} x_i s_{i+1}$, $x_i > s_{i+1}$.

(ii) $(f,g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv 0$ *if* $|u_i| = 1$ *or* $|u_i| = 2$.

(iii) *If* $|u_i| > 2$, *then*

$$\begin{aligned}(f,g)_{\bar{f}} \ &\equiv\ u_0\cdots u_{i-1}(m-3)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}s_{i+1}'x_i s_{i+1}(m-2)(s_{i+2}', x_i)u_{i+3}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\ &\quad -s_0'u_0\cdots u_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

Proof (i) is clear.

(ii) If $|u_i| = 1$, then $|u_{i+1}| = |u_{i+2}| = 1$. Similar to Lemma 3.10, we have $(f,g)_{\bar{f}} \equiv 0$.

If $|u_i| = 2$, then $u_i = s_i s_i'$, $s_i' = x_i = s_{i+2} < s_{i+2}' = s_{i+1}' = s_i$ which implies $i = 0$. Since $s_0 s_0' s_1 s_0 - s_0' s_0 s_0' s_1$ and $s_0' s_1 u_3 \cdots u_{k+1} y_{k+1} - s_1 s_0' s_1 u_3 \cdots u_{k+1}$ are in (3),

$$\begin{aligned}(f,g)_{\bar{f}} &\equiv s_0 s_1 s_0' s_1 s_0 u_3\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0' s_0 s_1 s_0' s_1 s_0 u_3\cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv s_1 s_0 s_0' s_1 s_0 u_3\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0' s_1 s_0 s_0' s_1 s_0 u_3\cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv s_1 s_0' s_0 s_0' s_1 u_3\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1} - s_0' s_1 s_0' s_0 s_0' s_1 u_3\cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv s_1 s_0' s_0 s_1 s_0' s_1 u_3\cdots u_{k+1} - s_1 s_0' s_1 s_0 s_0' s_1 u_3\cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv s_1 s_0' s_1 s_0 s_0' s_1 u_3\cdots u_{k+1} - s_1 s_0' s_1 s_0 s_0' s_1 u_3\cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv 0.\end{aligned}$$

(iii) Suppose $|u_i| > 2$. By ELW's, we have

$$\begin{aligned}(f,g)_{\bar{f}} &\equiv u_0\cdots u_{i-1}(m-2)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}x_i s_{i+1}(m-2)(s_{i+2}', x_i)u_{i+3}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\ &\quad -s_0'u_0\cdots u_{k+1}\\ &\equiv u_0\cdots u_{i-1}(m-3)(s_i, s_i')s_{i+1}s_{i+1}'x_i s_{i+1}(m-2)(s_{i+2}', x_i)u_{i+3}\cdots u_{k+1}y_{k+1}\\ &\quad -s_0'u_0\cdots u_{k+1}.\end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. ■

Now we finish all the cases of inclusion compositions. Most of them are trivial except six cases which are mentioned in Theorems 3.13, 3.15, 3.17 and 3.18. But in fact, we can classify these six cases into four cases.

Now we consider that in what instances the nontrivial cases may happen.

The first nontrivial case, which is the first case of Theorem 3.13 and the nontrivial case of Theorem 3.17, happens if the following f exists:

C1: $f = (m-1)(s_0, s_0')\cdots(m-1)(s_i, s_i')\cdots(m-1)(s_{l+1}, s_{l+1}')\cdots m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') - m(s_0', s_0)\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')$, where $0 \le i \le l \le k$, such that

$$(a)\ |(m-1)(s_i, s_i')| \ge 2,\ |(m-1)(s_{l+1}, s_{l+1}')| \ge 2,\ x_i \rhd s_{l+1};$$
$$(b)\ (m-1)(s_j, s_j') = s_j,\quad s_{l+1} \rhd s_j \text{ for any } j,\ i+1 \le j \le l.$$

Remarks: In the case **C1**, we have

1) $\bar{f}$ contains $\bar{g}$ as a subword where $g = x_i s_{i+1}\cdots s_{l+1} - s_{l+1}s_{i+1}\cdots s_l$, $x_i \rhd s_{l+1}$ and $s_{l+1} \rhd s_j$ for any j, $i+1 \le j \le l$.

2) If there is no $f \in (3')$ with **C1** where $0 \le i = l \le k$, then for any $f \in (3')$, f is not with property **C1**.

The second nontrivial case, which is the second case of Theorem 3.13 and the nontrivial case of Theorem 3.18, happens if the following f exists:

C2: $f = (m-1)(s_0, s_0')\cdots(m-1)(s_i, s_i')\cdots(m-1)(s_{l+1}, s_{l+1}')\cdots m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') - m(s_0', s_0)\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')$, where $0 \le i < l \le k$, such that

$$(a)\ |(m-1)(s_i, s_i')| > 2,\ (m-1)(s_{i+1}, s_{i+1}') = s_{i+1},\ x_i = s_{l+1} > s_{i+1},\ m_{x_i s_{i+1}} = 3;$$
$$(b)\ (m-1)(s_j, s_j') = s_j,\ s_{l+1} \rhd s_j \text{ for any } j,\ i+2 \le j \le l.$$

Remarks: In the case **C2**, we have

1) $\bar{f}$ contains $\bar{g}$ as a subword where $g = x_i s_{i+1}\cdots s_{l+1} - s_{l+1}s_{i+1}\cdots s_l$, $m_{x_i s_{i+1}} = 3$ and $s_{l+1} \rhd s_j$ for any j, $i+2 \le j \le l$.

2) If there is no $f \in (3')$ with **C2** where $0 \le i = l-1 \le k-1$, then for any $f \in (3')$, f is not with property **C2**.

The third nontrivial case, which is the first case of Theorem 3.15, happens if the following f exists:

C3: $f = (m-1)(s_0, s_0')\cdots(m-1)(s_i, s_i')\cdots(m-1)(s_{l+1}, s_{l+1}')\cdots m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') - m(s_0', s_0)\cdots(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')$, where $0 \le i \le l \le k$, such that

(a) $(m-1)(s_i, s_i') \ge 2$, $m_{s_i s_i'}$ is even and there exists m ($i+1 \le m \le l+1$) such that $s_{i+1}' \rhd s_m \rhd x_i$;

(b) $(m-1)(s_j, s_j') = s_j$ for any j, $i+1 \le j \le l+1$,
$s_m \rhd s_n$ for any n, $i+1 \le n \le m-2$ and
$s_{m-1}\cdots s_{i_1} = (m-1)(s_{m-1}, s_m)$, $s_{m-1} < s_m$,
$s_{i_1+1}\cdots s_{i_2} = (m-1)(s_{i_1+1}, s_{i_1+2})$, $s_{i_1+1} < s_{i_1+2}, \cdots$,
$s_{i_n+1}\cdots s_{l+1} = m(s_{i_n+1}, s_{i_n+2})$, $s_{i_n+1} < s_{i_n+2}$.

Remarks: In the case **C3**, we have

1) $\bar{f}$ contains $\bar{g}$ as a subword where $g = s_{i+1}' x_i s_{i+1}\cdots s_{l+1} - s_m s_{i+1}' x_i s_{i+1}\cdots s_l \in (3')$ such that $s_{i+1}' \rhd s_m \rhd x_i$ for some m ($i+1 \le m \le l+1$) and $s_m \rhd s_n$ for any n, $i+1 \le n \le m-2$.

2) If there is no $f \in (3')$ with **C3** where $0 \leq i = l \leq k$, then for any $f \in (3')$, f is not with property **C3**.

The fourth nontrivial case, which is the second case of Theorem 3.15, happens if the following f exists:

C4: $f = (m-1)(s_0, s_0') \cdots (m-1)(s_i, s_i') \cdots (m-1)(s_{l+1}, s_{l+1}') \cdots m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') - m(s_0', s_0) \cdots (m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')$, where $0 \leq i < l \leq k$, such that

(a) $|(m-1)(s_i, s_i')| > 2,\ (m-1)(s_{i+1}, s_{i+1}') = s_{i+1},\ s_{i+1} > x_i = s_{l+1},\ m_{x_i s_{i+1}} = 3$;
(b) $(m-1)(s_j, s_j') = s_j,\ s_{l+1} \rhd s_j$ for any $j,\ i+2 \leq j \leq l$.

Remarks: In the case **C4**, we have

1) $\bar{f}$ contains $\bar{g}$ as a subword where $g = s_{i+1}' x_i \cdots s_{l+1} - s_{i+1} s_{i+1}' x_i \cdots s_l \in (3')$, $s_{i+1}' \rhd s_{i+1},\ m_{x_i s_{i+1}} = 3$ and $s_{l+1} \rhd s_j$ for any $j,\ i+2 \leq j \leq l$.

2) If there is no $f \in (3')$ with **C4** where $0 \leq i = l-1 \leq k-1$, then for any $f \in (3')$, f is not with property **C4**.

Remark: In the Example 3.2, there exist relations in $(3')$ with properties **C1** and **C2**.

Theorem 3.19 *$S = \{(1), (2), (3')\}$ is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of W if there is no $f \in (3')$ with properties* **C1** $\vee$ **C2** $\vee$ **C3** $\vee$ **C4**.

Proof We will prove that all possible compositions are trivial modulo S. Denote by $(i \wedge j)_w$ the composition of the type (i) and type (j) with respect to the ambiguity w.

By Lemmas 3.10, 3.12 and 3.16, and Theorems 3.13, 3.15, 3.17 and 3.18, we know that all inclusion compositions are trivial. Thus, we need only to check the intersection compositions.

$(1 \wedge 2)$ $\quad w = sm(s, s'),\ s > s'$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \wedge 2)_w &= -(m-1)(s', s) + sm(s', s) \\
&\equiv -(m-1)(s', s) + (m+1)(s, s') \\
&\equiv -(m-1)(s', s) + (m-1)(s', s) \\
&\equiv 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$(1 \wedge 3')$ $\quad w = s_0(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k') m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')$.

$$\begin{aligned}
(1 \wedge 3)_w &= -(m-2)(s_0', s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k') m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
&\quad + s_0 m(s_0', s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
&\equiv -(m-1)(s_0', s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
&\quad + (m-1)(s_0', s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
&\equiv 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$(2 \wedge 1)$ $w = m(s,s')x,\ \ s > s'$, where x is the last letter of $m(s,s')$.

$$\begin{aligned} (2 \wedge 1)_w &= -m(s',s)x + (m-1)(s,s') \\ &\equiv -(m+1)(s',s) + (m-1)(s,s') \\ &\equiv -(m-1)(s,s') + (m-1)(s,s') \\ &\equiv 0. \end{aligned}$$

$(2 \wedge 2)$ There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $w = m(s,s')(m-1)(s'',x),\ \ s > s',\ \ x > s''$, where x is the last letter of $m(s,s')$.

$$(2 \wedge 2)_w = -m(s',s)(m-1)(s'',x) + (m-1)(s,s')m(s'',x) \equiv 0.$$

Case 2. $w = (2i)(s,s')m(s,s'),\ \ s > s',\ \ 1 \le i < m_{ss'}/2$. We just prove the case that $m_{ss'}$ is even. For the case that $m_{ss'}$ is odd, the proof is similar. Assume that $m_{ss'}$ is even. Then

$$(2\wedge 2)_w \equiv -m(s',s)(2i)(s,s') + (2i)(s,s')m(s',s) \equiv -(m-2i)(s',s) + (m-2i)(s',s) \equiv 0.$$

$(2 \wedge 3')$ There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $w = (m-1)(s,s')(m-1)(s_0,s_0')(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')m(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}')$, $s > s',\ \ s_0 > s_0'$, where s_0 is the last letter of $m(s,s')$. Since $h = (m-1)(s,s')m(s_0',s_0) - m(s',s)(m-1)(s_0',s_0) \in (3')$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (2 \wedge 3')_w \\ = \ & -m(s',s)(m-2)(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')m(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ & +(m-1)(s,s')m(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ \equiv \ & -m(s',s)(m-1)(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ & +m(s',s)(m-1)(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ \equiv \ & 0. \end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $w = (2i)(s_0,s_0')(m-1)(s_0,s_0')(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')m(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}')$, $1 \le i < m_{s_0s_0'}/2$. We prove only the case that $m_{s_0s_0'}$ is even. For the case that $m_{s_0s_0'}$ is odd, the proof is similar. Assume that $m_{s_0s_0'}$ is even. Then

$$\begin{aligned} & (2 \wedge 3')_w \\ = \ & -m(s_0',s_0)(2i-1)(s_0,s_0')(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')m(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ & +(2i)(s_0,s_0')m(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ = \ & -(m-2i+1)(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')m(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ & +(m-2i)(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ \equiv \ & -(m-2i+1)(s_0',s_0)s_1'(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ & +(m-2i)(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ \equiv \ & -(m-2i)(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ & +(m-2i)(s_0',s_0)(m-1)(s_1,s_1')\cdots(m-1)(s_k,s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1},s_{k+1}') \\ \equiv \ & 0. \end{aligned}$$

$(3' \wedge 1)$ $w = (m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')y_{k+1}$, where y_{k+1} is the last letter of $m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3' \wedge 1)_w \\
= \; & -m(s_0', s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')y_{k+1} \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
\equiv \; & -m(s_0', s_0)s_1'(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
\equiv \; & -(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
\equiv \; & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$(3' \wedge 2)$ There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $w = (m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')(m-1)(t, y_{k+1}),\ y_{k+1} > t$, where y_{k+1} is the last letter of $m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3' \wedge 2)_w \\
= \; & -m(s_0', s_0) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')(m-1)(t, y_{k+1}) \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')m(t, y_{k+1}) \\
\equiv \; & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $w = (m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')s_{k+1}(2i)(s_{k+1}', s_{k+1})$ $m(s_{k+1}', s_{k+1}),\ 0 \leq i \leq (m_{s_{k+1}s_{k+1}'} - 2)/2$. We consider only the case that $m_{s_{k+1}s_{k+1}'}$ is odd. The proof is similar for $m_{s_{k+1}s_{k+1}'}$ to be even. Assume that $m_{s_{k+1}s_{k+1}'}$ is odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3' \wedge 2)_w \\
= \; & -m(s_0', s_0) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')(1+2i)(s_{k+1}', s_{k+1}) \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')s_{k+1}(2i)(s_{k+1}', s_{k+1})m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
\equiv \; & -m(s_0', s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_{k-1}, s_k')(m-2-2i)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1-2i)(s_{k+1}', s_{k+1}) \\
\equiv \; & -(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots m(s_k, s_k')(m-2-2i)(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}') \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1-2i)(s_{k+1}', s_{k+1}) \\
\equiv \; & -(m-1)(s_0, s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1-2i)(s_{k+1}', s_{k+1}) \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')(m-1-2i)(s_{k+1}', s_{k+1}) \\
\equiv \; & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

$(3' \wedge 3')$ There are two cases to consider.

Case 1. $w = (m-1)(s_0, s_0')(m-1)(s_1, s_1') \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s_k')m(s_{k+1}, s_{k+1}')(m-2)(t, y_{k+1})(m-1)(t_1, t_1') \cdots (m-1)(t_l, t_l')m(t_{l+1}', t_{l+1})$, $\quad y_{k+1} > t$, where y_{k+1} is the last letter of $m(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1})$.

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3' \wedge 3')_w \\
= \; & -m(s'_0, s_0) \cdots (m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \cdot (m-2)(t, y_{k+1})(m-1)(t_1, t'_1) \cdots m(t'_{l+1}, t_{l+1}) \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s'_0) \cdots (m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) m(t, y_{k+1}) \cdots (m-1)(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
\equiv \; & -m(s'_0, s_0) \cdots (m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1})(m-1)(t, y_{k+1}) \cdots (m-1)(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
& +m(s'_0, s_0) \cdots (m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1})(m-1)(t, y_{k+1}) \cdots (m-1)(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
\equiv \; & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Case 2. $w = (m-1)(s_0, s'_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s'_k) s_{k+1} (2i)(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1})(m-1)(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1})(m-1)(t_1, t'_1) \cdots (m-1)(t_l, t'_l) m(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1})$, $\quad 0 \leq i \leq (m_{s_{k+1} s'_{k+1}} - 2)/2$. We only consider the case that $m_{s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}}$ is odd and the proof is similar for $m_{s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}}$ to be even. Assume that $m_{s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}}$ is odd. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
& (3' \wedge 3')_w \\
= \; & -m(s'_0, s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s'_k)(m-1)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot (2i)(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1})(m-1)(t_1, t'_1) \cdots (m-1)(t_l, t'_l) m(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s'_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s'_k) s_{k+1} (2i)(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot m(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1})(m-1)(t_1, t'_1) \cdots (m-1)(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
\equiv \; & -m(s'_0, s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s'_k)(m-1-2i)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot (m-1)(t_1, t'_1) \cdots (m-1)(t_l, t'_l) m(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s'_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s'_k)(m-1-2i)(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot (m-1)(t_1, t'_1) \cdots (m-1)(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
\equiv \; & -m(s'_0, s_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s'_k)(m-2-2i)(s_{k+1}, s'_{k+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot (m-1)(t_1, t'_1) \cdots (m-1)(t_l, t'_l)(m-1)(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s'_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s'_k)(m-1-2i)(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot (m-1)(t_1, t'_1) \cdots (m-1)(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
\equiv \; & -(m-1)(s_0, s'_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s'_k)(m-1-2i)(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot (m-1)(t_1, t'_1) \cdots (m-1)(t_l, t'_l)(m-1)(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
& +(m-1)(s_0, s'_0)(m-1)(s_1, s'_1) \cdots (m-1)(s_k, s'_k)(m-1-2i)(s'_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) \\
& \quad \cdot (m-1)(t_1, t'_1) \cdots (m-1)(t_{l+1}, t'_{l+1}) \\
\equiv \; & 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus, the theorem is proved. ■

We give some examples which are in the case of Theorem 3.19 but not the finite Coxeter groups (see [4, 8, 10]).

Example 3.20 *Let W be the Coxeter group with respect to Coxeter matrix $M = (m_{ij})$. Suppose that one of the following conditions holds:*

(i) for any i, j $(i > j)$, $m_{ij} \geq 3$;

(ii) *for any* i, j $(i > j)$, *either* $m_{ij} = 2$ *or* $m_{ij} = \infty$;

(iii) $m_{i1} = 2$ *for any* $i \geq 2$ *and* $m_{ij} \geq 3$ *for any* i, j $(i > j \geq 2)$.

Then in $(3')$, *there are no relations with property* $\mathbf{C1} \vee \mathbf{C2} \vee \mathbf{C3} \vee \mathbf{C4}$. *By Theorem 3.19,* $S = \{(1), (2), (3')\}$ *is a Gröbner-Shirshov basis of such a Coxeter group* W.

In the next paper, we will try to prove that the new conjecture is true if W is a Coxeter group without $\mathbf{C2} \vee \mathbf{C3} \vee \mathbf{C4}$.

Acknowledgement: The authors would like to thank Professor L.A. Bokut for his guidance, useful discussions and enthusiastic encouragement in writing up this paper.

References

[1] G.M. Bergman, The diamond lemma for ring theory, *Adv. in Math.*, **29** (1978), 178-218.

[2] L.A. Bokut, Unsolvability of the word problem, and subalgebras of finitely presented Lie algebras, *Izv. Akad. Nauk. SSSR Ser. Mat.*, **36** (1972), 1173-1219.

[3] L.A. Bokut, Imbeddings into simple associative algebras, *Algebra i Logika*, **15** (1976), 117-142.

[4] L.A. Bokut and L.-S. Shiao, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for Coxeter groups, *Comm. Algebra*, **29** (2001), 4305-4319.

[5] N. Bourbaki, Lie groups and Lie algebras Chapters 4-6, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2002.

[6] B. Buchberger, An algorithm for finding a basis for the residue class ring of a zero-dimensional polynomial ideal [in German], Ph.D. thesis, University of Innsbruck, Austria, 1965.

[7] B. Buchberger, An algorithmical criteria for the solvability of algebraic systems of equations [in German], *Aequationes Math.*, **4** (1970), 374-383.

[8] D. Lee, Gröbner-Shirshov bases and normal forms for the coxeter groups E_6 and E_7, Advances in Algebra and Combinatorics, World Scientific, 2008, 243-255.

[9] A.I. Shirshov, Some algorithmic problem for Lie algebras, *Sibirsk. Mat. Z.*, **3** (1962) 292-296 (in Russian); English translation in SIGSAM Bull., **33**(2)(1999), 3-6.

[10] O. Svechkarenko, Gröbner-Shirshov bases for the coxeter group E_8, Master Thesis, Novosibirsk State University, (2007).